

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/537,859	03/28/2000	Paul Proost	49673	5520
7.	590 08/16/2002			
Dike Bronstein Roberts & Cushman Intellectual Property Practice Group EDWARDS & ANGELL			EXAMINER	
			ROARK, JESSICA H	
P.O. Box 9169 Boston, MA 02209			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bosion, Wire	,220/		1644	lm
			DATE MAILED: 08/16/2002	$\sim$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/537,859	PROOST ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jessica H. Roark	1644	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Therefore, further action by	the applicant is required to avoid about 1.113 may only be either: (1) a time a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with a	ON IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  andonment of this application. A proper reply to a ly filed amendment which places the application in appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [c	heck either a) or b)]
b) The period for reply ex no event, however, will ONLY CHECK THIS B	the statutes, poriod for roply expire later than	the final rejection. Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In ISIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
fee have been filed is the date for fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calcu	purposes of determining the period of extens	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ion and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension tened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or han three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if 4(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal v 37 CFR 1.192(a), or	vas filed on <u>26 July 2002</u> . Appellant's any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.19	Brief must be filed within the period set forth in I(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amen	dment(s) will not be entered because	:
(a) X they raise new i	ssues that would require further cons	sideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) Ithey raise the is	sue of new matter (see Note below);	
issues for appea	al; and/or	r form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present ac	dditional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	ntinuation Sheet.	
3. Applicant's reply has	overcome the following rejection(s):	·
canceling the non-a	illowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment
5 ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b	) exhibit, or c)⊠ request for recontion for allowance because: <u>See Cont</u>	sideration has been considered but does NOT place the inuation Sheet.
raised by the Exam	iner in the final rejection.	t is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appendix explanation of how	peal, the proposed amendment(s) a) the new or amended claims would b	☑ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an e rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the cla	aim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	PHILLIPGIMPPE
Claim(s) allowed: _	·	PHILLIP GAMBEL, PH.D
Claim(s) objected t	0:	PRIMARY EXAMINER
Claim(s) rejected:	<u>13-23</u> .	May connail600
Claim(s) withdrawr	from consideration:	
8. ☐ The proposed draw	ing correction filed on is a)□	approved or b)  disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached l	nformation Disclosure Statement(s)(	PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.⊠ Other: See Continue		

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the inclusion of haritations requiring that the N-terminally truncated, CP-2 sequence not include deletions beyond certain residues requires new search and consideration with respect to these sequences. In addition, although Applicant has proposed a change to the sequence listing that is supported in Figure 1; as was previously noted it is unclear if the sequence provided for the MCP-2 alleleic variant in Figure 1 represents an obvious correction for the sequence provided in the sequence listing. Given the original discrepancy between the sequence listing and Figure 1 (as well as the sequence of the MCP-2 allele provided by Van Collie et al. (IDS#AS)), Applicant's failure to include an explanation of how both the error and correction are obvious raises the issue of New Matter and fails to simplify the issues for appeal.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the proposed amendment has not been entered and thus Applicant is arguing limitations not found in pending claims 13-23.

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant is reminded of the requirement for formal drawings set forth in section 7 of the Office Action mailed 3/26/02. Subsequent after final amendments which do not address the drawings requirement will not be entered, at least because the proposed amendment would be non-responsive to the drawing requirement of record.